G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references.
" 36-20 & 1-2
Med 46-20 & 18, 19
30-32
med 12-14 & 1-3
" 69-16 & 1-4
" 18-19 - 7, 11, 12, 15-17
" 41-17 " 1-2
" 29-21 " 5 & 10.
Later references.
med 5-22 & 3 & 8.
" 4-22 & 23, 35 & 38
" 4-26/22 & 109

1921. (Med)

File No. 36-21.

Serial Nos. 25, 26, 27, 30, 41 45 & 50.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for ~~December~~ January 1923

SUBJECT

promotion to Medical officers

25. From, The Senior Surgeon, letter No. G.C 258 d 20.3.22
Forwards for Sanction a statement of promotions to be given in and to the Senior grades of Sub. Asst. Srg.

46. To, The Senior Surgeon, The Comptroller
No. L 3858-9/d 24.11.22
Sanctions the revised proposal of Senior Sr

50 To, The Senior Surgeon, The Comptroller
No. L 4972-3/d 10.1.23
Sanctions the extra expenditure amg. to Rs 3701-5-0 in connections with promotions referred to in &. 25

Printed on the Multigraph.

AS
12.1.23.

F. R. S. 11] 192/

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Medical

NOTES.

File No. 3642

FILE HEADING.

Promotions to Medical Subordinate

From

The Senior Surgeon Rangoon

S.C No. 258 Dated 20.3.22 Received 22.3.22

Diary No. 5961	Enclos. 2 Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

forwards for sanction a statement of promotions proposed to be given in and to the Senior grades of Sub Asst Surgeons.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Draft D.O. requesting the Senior Surgeon to forward for reference an up to date gradation list of Medical Subordinates is submitted for approval and orders. The gradation list

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Med File No. 36 of 1921.

Serial No.

available in this office
relate to a period prio
to June 1920 and as such
is not of much value
as a book of reference.

C.S.
25/3/22

Issue
Dis
25/3/22

25/3

26 To the Senior Surgeon
Do no L.S.S.83 dt 25.3.22
Request to forward an
up to date Copy of the Gradation
list
3 Copy of the Gradation list of the
Med. Subordinates Cadre has not
yet been received.
Submitted D.O. reminder

7/4

4 Issue
Dis
8/4/22

Med 5937/10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Medl File No. 36 of 1921.

Serial No.

Letter No 2.C258-2 dated the 12th April 1922 from the Senior Surgeon in my view forwarding a typed copy of the gradation list of Sub-Assistant Surgeons in the order in which they now stand.

2 The statement of promotions received for sanction from the Senior Surgeon has been carefully checked with the new gradation list now received. The promotions are in order of seniority except in the cases of Nos 16, 18 and 20 of the list. These last three officers, if promoted to the Senior grade as recommended, will supersede a large number of persons above them, some of whom may perhaps have been doing good work also. The claims of ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~

G. R. S. III-2]

6337

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Med File No. 36 of 19 21.

Serial No.

Commencement of promotions recommended are in accordance with Seniority ~~[illegible]~~ except in the three cases which are recommended for special treatment. Subject for orders.

6 (A comparision of the new & old lists discloses certain discrepancies and as these do not effect the persons who are now recommended for promotion, that question will, if approved, be dealt with separately after disposal of the present reference.

E.S. 22/4/22. 22/4/22

7 What are the rules, if any, which regulate the promotions of Sub Assistant Surgeons to the Senior Grade? Could seniority be overlooked in such cases even when there is nothing against the work of the officers concerned?

E.S. 22/4/22

8 Kind reference is invited, to ~~[illegible]~~ & 6 above

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

5 Jul
6-37

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Govt File No. 36 of 1921.

Serial No.

U

2205-6/Govt 12-14-3 dated 14th Sept 1914 laying down that promotions to the Senior grade of Asst Surgeons should be by selection on the ground of merit and capacity

SB, 4/5/21

= Who are all the officers who would be superseded if three of the appointments go to the officers recommended by the Senior Surgeon?

DCG 4/5/21

By giving promotion to Mr J. S. Ambu Pillay to the Senior grade he will supersede 6 people in the 65 Rupees grade and 24 men in the 70 Rupees grade.

Similarly Mr Damodaram will supersede 30 men in the 65 Rupee grade & 29 [illegible]

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Med File No. 36 of 1924.

Serial No.

Mr S. Ramaswami Iyer will in like manner supersede 3 men in the 65 rupee grade & 24 men in the 70 rupee grade.

2nd Also, among these three officers Mr. Ramaswami Iyer, who is the most senior has been provided with a post in the senior grade from 11. 1. 22 while his colleagues & Mr Ambuthalli have been provided in vacancies from a much earlier date.

Registered for orders

E. 3. 1/25

5

13 There could be no objection to the promotions recommended in the order of seniority being sanctioned. But the same thing cannot be said in respect of the promotions recommended to three Sub-Assistant Surgeons thereby superseding

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Med File No. 55 of 19-.

Serial No.

III officers, particularly as nothing is said about the work of these III people. There is no doubt a rule to the effect that selection to the senior grade should be on the ground of merit and capacity; but a senior's claims ought not to be superseded unless he is specifically found to be wanting in merit and capacity. Sub Assistant Surgeons get into the senior grade towards the end of their service and it is likely to give rise to real heartburning if the claims of senior officers are brushed aside lightly and for no valid reasons.

(Rev Secy.) 5/5/22

The ~~paper~~ points for orders are:—

(1) Overlooking the claims of Mr K. Narasayya to No (24) among those on Rs 70/-.

There are valid reasons for this as stated by the Senior Surgeon. (vide also flag K.)

(2) Overlooking the claims of Mr S.V. Subbarayar. (No 5 in the list on Rs 70)

The grounds given by the S.S. are quite inadequate. The printed gradation list shows he has qualifications identical

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G.R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 55 of 19

Serial No.

with those of others. Further he has obviously passed the prescribed exams under the G.O. of 1914 (Class A) before promotion to present class. The S.S.'s remark about him is therefore not intelligible. He is due to retire next year, and ~~the~~ supersession does not appear called for, on such inadequate grounds. Mr. Venkatachari (No 2 in the list on Rs 70/-) who is recommended by the S.S. has been duly ~~to~~ the vacancy has been made available ~~Care~~ be given to Mr. Subbaraya according to his rank, without disturbing the other recommendations of the S.S.

(3) The promotion of Messrs S. Ramaswami Iyer, (No 204 of Rs 65) Amba Patil, (No 8 on Rs 65) and Damodaraya (No 43 on Rs 65) ~~and~~ all of them on Rs 65/- to the grade Rs 80, over the heads of several Seniors i.e 25 seniors, 29 seniors, and 54 seniors respectively. These promotions are recommended by the S.S. on the grounds of "conspicuous ability & earnestness in professional work & earning approbation of Govts.," in the case of the first, "uniform appreciation & exceeding popularity" in the case of the second & "X-ray training & training

6330

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Med File No. 36 of 19 21

Serial No.

in Domic treatment & very good work in Kurkura rajendra Hospital in x-ray dept" in the case of the third.

17 Whether these may be sanctioned as for consideration. If they are to be sanctioned, it is next for ~~for~~ Consideration Whether Mr Ramaswamy Iyer who is recommended on the ground of "Conspicuous ability & earnestness in professional work and earning of approbation." should be allowed to be superseded by Messrs Anbu Pillay & Damodaram, which is what the S.S. recommends & most of the seniors are people with a few years of service & it would be hard to deny them promotion when there is nothing against them.

6/5

2nd member

5550/18/37

~~but~~ but asst surgeons in Sub Cadre should be promoted by in so far as they ~~are to~~ are ~~passed~~ permissible under the rules the Surgeons may be asked to submit revised proposals where Rama Iyer & Ramachandra Iyer may be called for.

5 Are all the vacancies in Grade 2 to be filled up? In this proposal by the Senior Surgeons does not by seniority Ramachandra Iyer must have to be promoted.

21/10/21 2nd Asst 3505

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Med File No. 36 of 19 21.

5/1

Serial No.

22 Draft please

Sd/ 24/5/22

23 Draft Subd for orders

G.S. 24/5/22

24 Issue

Sd/ 24/5/22

30 To The Senior Surgeon

No 7147 Dd 31-5-22

Request to submit a revised list in the light of the observations made in the letter.

Letter No. G.C 258-4 dated the 18th July 1922 from the Senior Surgeon in reply submitting explanations for his proposals in the matter of giving promotions to certain Sub Asst Surgeons to the Senior Grade of the I.M.S. Subd for orders

G.S. 21/7/22

26/ Please note if all the appointments proposed, except the four special ones, are in the order of seniority.

Sd/ 27/7/22

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 36 of 1921.

Serial No.

27/ The two lists of promotions submitted by the Senior Surgeon were checked as per gradation list, received and the recommendations were found to be according to seniority, except for the supersession of four officers, viz, Messrs Narayana Iyer, Subbarayer, Muthiah and Chinniah for whose supersession the Senior Surgeon has given reasons.

28/ The Senior Surgeon has also given reasons for promoting Mr D. R. Damodaram to the Senior Grade from an earlier date than ~~for~~ Messrs S. Ramaswami Iyer & T. S. Arumugam Pillay. Recorded proceedings

CB,
22/7/22

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 36 of 1921.

Serial No.

29) Although the Senior Surgeon was informed that Govt. cannot approve of his recommendation to promote Sub Asstt Surgeons on Rs. 65 to the Senior grade, he reiterates his previous proposal and adds another officer to the list of Sub Asstt. Surgeons recommended for special treatment. In case it is decided to reconsider the orders already passed in the matter, it appears desirable to make it clear that the officials selected for special treatment should not be given the benefit of earlier vacancies while their seniors are proposed for later vacancies.

30) In selecting officers for the Senior grade, the claims of officers who have retired have been altogether overlooked. It appears reasonable to consider the claims of such officers also, provided they are otherwise eligible.

31) As regards the question of overlooking the claims of four officers named by the Senior Surgeon, the reasons given by him for their supersession appear to be fairly well-founded.

(Rev Secy.) 28/7/21

(13

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Med File No. 36 of 1921.

Serial No.

Refce:— paras 19 & 20 & 21 supra.

1) The Senior Surgeon proposes the supersession of Messrs K. Narayana Iyenga, S.V. Subbarayan, A.K. Muthaiya and J. Chinnaiya.

The reports about Mr K. Narayana Iyengar are unfavourable & the S.S. has submitted a recent record also. If he is to be superseded, it is better to retain him, rather than leave the rural population to the Kaudya discontented doctor.

2) Mr. A.M. Muthaiyya is also proposed to be retired. If this is approved, this supersession may perhaps not matter from the public point of view.

3) About Mr J. Chinnaiyya, adequate grounds have not been furnished for his supersession. It is hard to deny promotion unless there is something definite against an officer.

4) The papers now sent may strengthen the view that there are no adequate grounds for superseding Mr S.V. Subbarayan. The reports do not show that he is 'not brilliant' but they do not show that his work was unsatisfactory either. Not being brilliant he has not come in for exceptional

Serial No.

promotion, but being an ordinary man, he should not be denied his ordinary chances either. There is no basis for the assumption that his work is unsatisfactory, and there is nothing against him as there is against. Others.

The Senior Surgeon proposes special promotion for 4 persons. Of these, the cases of Messrs Ramaswamy Iyer, Ambu Pillay and Doraisamy have been previously noted upon. The latest recruit for exceptional favours is Mr Rajasaheram. The grounds advanced are "he has won the appreciation of the public while at Maddagiri and other places and his work has been found by me to be very satisfactory." These are very inadequate grounds indeed for promotion over the heads of several seniors, however adequate they may be for the grant of other Concessions. In the first place, does this statement imply that all the seniors have not done satisfactory work or that they have been unpopular? There is no proof of exceptional Capacity on their Cas

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

Has he been uniformly commended by his superiors as of exceptional merit.

Doubtless the Head of the Dept must have a voice — and a very effective one to — ~~be~~ in the award of punishments and of ~~exceptional~~ promotions. But both must proceed on well defined and well-understood principles: Promotions out of turn and superseding a large number of seniors, all of them conscientious public servants, should be rare ~~and~~ so as to be ~~be~~ of any value, and to have the desired effect. When they become too numerous and too frequent, they help merely to create discontent and impair efficiency. ~~Whether such~~

They should also be supported by very strong & unmistakeable grounds. Failing these safeguards, there is danger of personal caprice and predilections having ~~the~~ some play ~~in this~~

~~Such~~ In any case, when people are marked out for special treatment at one & the same time, there is absolutely no reason why their relative positions should be disturbed at the time of such preferment.

2nd Member

803/31

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

803

I agree generally with the Revenue Secretary. The latest order regulating the appointments and promotion of Medical officers is the re-organization orde of July 1918, that order clearly shows that a Sub Assistant Surgeon cannot reach the grade of Rs.70 unless he has put in a service of 20 years. It follows fro this that junior Sub Assistant Surgeons who have not put in a service of 20 years cannot aspire to the higher grade of Senior Sub Assistant Surgeons. The cases quoted by the Senior Surgeon are not in point. Mr. Framji Darasha was given the Senior grade becau his case was overlooked at the time of reorganiza He was a 1st class Sub assistant Surgeon at the t appointed as such by reason of the fact that he h passed the 1st L .M.& S Examination and had compl the course. But he had not put in 20 years of se and so his juniors got into the Rs.70 grade whilst h left behind. Government rectified the mistake as as it was brought to their notice. It was not a of promotion to the senior grade on the ground of merit alone. The case of Mr. Krishna Singh happe before the reorganization order of July 1918. It an exceptional case of rewarding the services of who had rendered war service and was quite in or it came under the rules of 1914. The cases of and Joseph Pille were also the cases in which th

Serial No.

services were rewarded. But they were not promoted to the senior grade, but were given advance increments, so to speak, and put in the grade of Rs.70. This promotion did not affect their seniors at the time who were entitled to their promotions in due course by efflux of time. The case of Mr. Abdul Khudus comes under clause ii of the Government Order of 1918. He was specially recommended by the late Mr. C.A.Nanjappa.

Under the Re-organization Order of 1918, efficiency bar, so to speak, has been placed at the Rs.70 grade. The selection to the Senior Grade of 80-90 will be made on the ground of merit but it should ordinarily be made from amongst the men in that grade. The selection should not be arbitrarily made. There must be substantial grounds for overlooking the claims of Senior men. This was what was meant in the letter dated 31st May. i.e., until the men in Rs.70 grade were found to be disqualified, men in the lower grade cannot be promoted to the senior grade.

41 The Snior Surgeon proposes to give every 4th appointment in the Senior Grade to a junior on the ground of merit alone. If he confines his selection to men in the Rs.70 grade, there may not be any objection but if he goes down lower and selects a man say on Rs. 55 or 45 which he evidently intends doing, there will be a good deal of discontent and heart-burning in the service which was the object of the Re-organi-

zatior

Serial No.

Re-organization Scheme of 1918 to avoid. Such promotions are not contemplated under that Scheme.

2 If this interpretation of the Government Order of 1918 is correct, the promotions of S. Ramaswami Iyer, Ambu Pille and Damodharam who are in the grade of Rs. 65 will have to be disallowed unless it is shown that their seniors in the grade of 70 (and there are a lot) are not fit for promotion to the grade of 80. Damodharam no doubt possesses some special qualifications in as much as he is qualified in x-ray theraupy. But he gets a special allowances for this.

3 As regards the men proposed to a be superseded, Narana Iyengar's claim will have be rejected. Chinnai may also be overlooked. As regards Subray~~a Iyer~~, the confidential reports about him since 1913, have not been referred to by the Senior Surgeon and it is to be presumed that they are not bad. The Senior Surgeon may be asked to state whether in view of the fact that he has completed his service, promotion may not be given to him now and his retirement ordered next year.

4 The Senior Surgeon may also be told that he cannot distinct the seniority of the men recommended for promotion and that promotions should be given to the men in the order of their seniority.

5 Madam Syed Noh has been 25/5
[illegible] for [illegible] Surgeon
[illegible]

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 56 of 19

Serial No.

603

(Dewan)

Dcs 30/8/22

(Chief Secy.)

Dcs 1/9/22

357-22

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 119

.....1922-23

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT.

(Clause xxvii of the schedule)

Promotions in the grade of Sub Assistant Surgeons.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

Chief Secretary to Government.

4th September 1922.

Extraordinary Member of Council.

1956-95
6.9.22

First Member of Council. 7-9-22

Second Member of Council. 5/9/22

4/9/22

Third Member of Council.

3.5-7-22

21

Subject. -

Promotions in the grade of Sub Assistant Surgeons.

...

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

17 Note

Promotions of Civil Sub Asst Surgeons have under the Govt S. A S's M.S.O., unless these cases are to be superseded primarily by 2719

(10)

Draft letter to the Senior Surgeon is submitted for approval. As the power of ordering appointments within Rs. 100 has since been delegated to Heads of Departments, the Senior Surgeon has been asked to make the promotions on the principles enunciated and to submit a list of promotions for perusal of Govt.

Rev. Secy. with Compliments.

by 28/9 28/9/22

Draft appd

by 1/10 1/10.

2133 30/9 2nd Member)

DCS 29/9/22

Issue

DCS 1/10/22

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

2nd File No. 36 of 1924.

Serial No.	
41	Letter No L 2691 dated the 4th October 1922 to the Senior Surgeon Rajputana issuing instructions for filling up the vacancies in the grade of Senior Sub Asst Surgeons and requesting him to forward for information a copy of the Local orders issued in this connection.
42	Letter No G. C 258-6 dated the 31st October 1922 from the Senior Surgeon Rajputana submitting for sanction revised proposals for filling up certain vacancies in the Provincial Service by promotion of Assistant Surgeons.

Subd for orders with reference to

GB, Asst
8/11/22

Are the promotions proposed in the order of seniority?

Do they comprise all the available vacancies?

DCS
10/11/22

P. P. O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

53 The promotions now recommended are in accordance with seniority as per gradation list sent by the Senior Surgeon:

54 It is not possible for this office to say whether they comprise all the available vacancies or not. This information can be had from the Camphell's office unofficially, if desired.

C.B. 17/11/22

55 (1) The promotions proposed appear to be all in order except those relating to Messrs. K. Narayana Iyengar and Chinnaiya in regard to whom the Senior Surgeon desires to withdraw the objections previously urged by him. Whether the promotions may be approved in toto or with the exception of the two people named is a point for consideration.

56 (2) No separate formal orders appear to be necessary to place the Efficiency

57 P.S.— The name of Mr. Sheik Abdulla is also included in the list of promotions. Whether this promotion may be held back until this case is decided is also a point for consideration.

58 Approved

59 not necessary as the promotion already made

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Revd File No. 36 of 1924.

Serial No.

bar at the Rs 70 grade. Up to that grade, the officers have to rise from grade to grade and it is only after reaching that grade that they become entitled to promotion to the Senior grades.

(3) The Senior Surgeon will therefore have to be informed that the class to class promotions among Sub Assistant Surgeons should be rigidly adhered to and no deviations could be allowed in exceptional cases.

(4) It was under a misapprehension that all Heads of Departments had been delegated the power of making appointments up to Rs. 100 that the Senior Surgeon was asked to fill up the vacancies in question. I now find that it is only the Rev. Commr. that has been delegated such extensive powers and not others. The Senior Surgeon will therefore be asked to continue seeking sanction of Govt. as heretofore in respect of appointments of Sub Assistant Surgeon

Rev. Secy.) Sec 15/11/24

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 30 of 19

Serial No.

3859
18/XI/22

(Secret Branch) - Uf 18/11/22

Secret Branch

Secret

21/11 22/11/22

65 Draft accordingly

22/11/22

66 Draft submitted

23/11/22 67 Issue as revised

23/11/22

46 To

The Senior Surgeon
The Comptroller
No L 3858-9 D 24-11-22
Sanctioning the revised proposals of the Senior Surgeon.

47 To The Sr Surgeon
No L 3860 D 24-11-22
Stores Transport
Orders issued as regards the other proposals [illegible]

J. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Med File No. 36 of 1921.

Serial No. 68

Re subs for orders with refer to the orders of the 2nd Member of Council at para 62 of the Notes above. Med'cal file No 46-20 SG 1.8, 1921 is put up as desired. In G. O. Med 3023 Med 46-20-31 dated the 16th October 1922, it is laid down that after 20 years' approved service a Sub Asst Surgeon becomes entitled to draw the pay of Rs 70/-.

SG Sub
27/III/22

69 No doubt, according to the order of 1914, promotion to the Senior grade (which then carried a pay of Rs. 70 and 80) was from the 1st class of Sub Asstt. Surgeons on Rs. 60. But the creation of an intermediate grade of Rs. 70 and the increase of the pay of Senior grade to Rs. 80 and 90 meant that it was only those who were eligible for Senior grades but who are likely to be stagnating owing to want of vacancies that were intended to be promoted to the Rs. 70 grade after 20 years.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Med File No. 36 of 1921.

Serial No.

service. It is therefore not correct to argue that, even though there are men in the Rs. 70 grade who are not declared incompetent, men on Rs. 65 may be promoted to the senior grade. If approved, the Senior Surgeon will be informed accordingly.

3388 / 23-11-22

2nd Member) DCS 28/11/22

I/C Med. Member is kindly requested to peruse & return.

Urdu 30/11 U/30/11/22

Kind reference is invited to my Medical file No 46-20-31 marked X which was already sent up as desired. (Vide previous page) Respectfully for orders

C.G. Ind

3506 / 1-12-22 30/11/22 2nd Member) DCS 30/11/22

Issue with the Secretariat Circular

Urdu 4/12/20

Draft accordingly U/4/12/22

Sub Sub C.G. Ind 6/12/22 DCS 4/12/22 Issue as revised DCS 13/12/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Med File No. 36 of 19 21

Serial No.	
48	To The Senior Surgeon no L 6524 d 21-12-22. Issue instructions Re the proposal to ... [illegible] ... Senior ... [illegible]
49	Letter no G.E 258-10 of 21 ... dated the 15th Dec 1922 from the Senior Surgeon to ... requesting sanction to meet the additional cost on account of filling up vacancies in the Senior Grades of Sub Asst Surgeons from the saving expected from the provision of Rs 7530 ... made under passed ... Asst Surgeons, VII 25 ... [illegible] ... Put up ... [illegible] ... appl
50	C.S. [illegible] 24/12/22 (2nd member) ... [illegible]

24/12

Issue ... [illegible] 4.1.23

3097

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Med File No. 36 of 1921

Serial No. 50

To The Senior Surgeon
The Comptroller
No L 4972-3 dt. 10-1-23
Sanctioning the amount
of Rs 3[illegible]-5-0.

Confidential — Medical 3 :- -.-25

MYSORE MEDICAL DEPARTMENT.

In reply quote
No. C.25 8
Enc 2

Encl.

Telegraphic Address
"SENORGEN"

37193

OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SURGEON,
MYSORE GOVERNMENT,
Dated Bangalore, the 18th March *192* 2.

20

Z 5941

FROM

21 MAR 1922

The Senior Surgeon with the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of H.H. the
Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department.
Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith, for favor of early sanction, a statement* of promotions proposed to be given in and to the Senior Grades of Sub Assistant Surgeons, in filling up the ~~xxxx~~ vacancies that have occurred in those grades during 1921. In two cases alone the vacancies ~~xxxxxx~~ have to be reckoned from 15th April 1920 and 1st July 1920, on account of the promotions of Senior Sub Assistant Surgeons Messrs.K.Abdul Khuddus and D.Framji to the grade of Assistant Surgeons, sanctioned in Notification No.2461-C.B.66-20, dated 22nd June 1921.

*(with a spare copy)

In the selection I have, as far as possible, avoided deviations from the order of seniority in which the ~~xxxxx~~ subordimates stand in the Gradation List, except in the following two cases, viz., Mr.K.Narayana Iyengar and Mr.S.V.Surrayer who are proposed to be superseded, the former on account of his incapacity for work and the recent false travelling allowance bill presented by him, (vide Your letter No 23835-84-1-22) and the latter on account of his professional attainments being of a very low order. With these two exceptions, the rest of the Sub Assistant Surgeons in the special grade on Rs.70/- are recommended for promotion in the order of their

Statement of promotions in and t

No.	Name	From pay	To pay
1.	Mr.K.Krishna Iyengar	Rs.70	Rs.80
2.	Mr.M.C.Venkatachar	Do.	Do.
3.	Mr.M.Lakshmana Rao	Rs.80	Rs.90
4.	Mr. M. Guru Rao	Rs.70	Rs.80
5.	Mr. M.Srinivasa Rao	Do.	Do.
6.	Mr.V.Arivanandam	Rs.80	Rs.90
7.	Mr.A.S.Ramalinga Mudr.	Rs.70	Rs.80
8.	Mr.T.J.Mamundy Pillay	Rs.80	Rs.90
9.	Mr. N.Ganapathi Iyer	Rs.70	Rs.80
10.	Mr.T.V.Lakshmana Pillay	Rs.80	Rs.90
11.	Mr. B. Annappa	Rs.70	Rs.80
12.	Mr.S.Krishnaswami Iyer	Rs.80	Rs.90
13.	Mr.G. Audiappa	Rs.70	Rs.80
14.	Mr.D.K.Narasinga Rao	Rs.80	Rs.90
15.	Mr.C.Ramanujam Pillay	Rs.70	Rs.80
16.	Mr.T.S.Ambu Pillay	Rs.65	Rs.80
17.	Mr.P.S.Ramaswami Iyengar	Rs.80	Rs.90
18.	Mr.T. R.Damodaram	Rs.65	Rs.80
19.	Mr.M.Nanjundaiya	Rs.80	Rs.90
20.	Mr.S.Ramaswami Iyer	Rs.65	Rs.80

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to S.741 S.895

General and Revenue Secretariat

(L89)

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 25.3.22

Signed by Mr. D.C.S.

Section Judicial

File No. 36-21

~~**Serial No.**~~ 76

D.O No. L.5583

To The Senior Lawyer in Singapore.

Dear Sir,

With reference to

Your letter No S.C 258

dated the 20th Dec

1922 requesting

sanction to ~~Your~~

proposals ~~to fix~~ for

promotions in and

to the Senior Grade

over.

50322 Immediate Med 36-21-27

MYSORE MEDICAL DEPARTMENT.

In reply quote
No. G.C.2.58-2

Encl. 2

Telegraphic Address
"SENORGEN"

OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SURGEON,
MYSORE GOVERNMENT,
Dated Bangalore, the 1st April *192* 2.

REV. SEC. APR 1922

FROM

The Senior Surgeon with the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
BANGALORE.

SIR,

With reference to your D.O. letter No.L.5583 of the 25th ultimo, I have the honour to forward herewith a typed copy of the Gradation list of Sub Assistant Surgeons* in the order in which they now stand.

2. The gradation list corrected upto 30th June 1921 which had been sent for printing in November last, has not been printed yet. The work has just been taken up and copies are expected in a few days.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Senior Surgeon.

SSR-BVA.

* with one spare copy

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 5941

General and Revenue Secretariat

(289)

, *the* *191* .

Date of issue 31/5

Signed by Mr DCS

Section: Med'l

File No. 36-21.

Serial No. 30

No. 7147

To The Senior Surgeon
in Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to
~~the~~ Correspondence
ending with Your bill
No. G. C 258 dated
the 18/20th March
requesting sanction
to the payment
certain bills

over.

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

together with a correct and up to date Gradation List to enable Govt. to judge of the relative seniority of the officers concerned.

2) As regards the proposal contained in paragraph 3 of your letter regarding the special treatment to be accorded to three Sub Asst Surgeons on Rs 65, Govt. regret that they cannot accept your recommendation as the Rules do not permit of promotions from ~~the Rs 65~~ grade of Rs 65 to ~~the Rs 80~~ grade of Rs. 80 when there is an intermediate grade between the two.

therefore to be brushed aside lightly and that for no valid reason. Government are therefore not in favour of Sub Asst Surgeons on a pay of Rs 65/- being promoted to the Rs 80/- grade as recommended, as this is not permissible under the rules.

I am to request You to be so good as to ~~Rs 65~~ forward the service registers of Messrs K. Narayana and S.N. Subbaya together with papers if any, relating to the good or indifferent work of these officers.

It does not appear that the promotion

WD 2230—GPB—1,00,000—23-7-20

[R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft-form.)

WD 2280—GPB—1,00,000—23-7-20

Confidential

A663

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Dated Bangalore, ... *192*.....

No.

From

A. V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Revenue Department.
(In charge)

To

Mahomed Usmon, Esq., L.M.&S.,
Acting Senior Surgeon in Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.G.C.258 dated the 18th/20th March 1922 requesting sanction to the promotion of certain Sub Assistant Surgeons to the Senior Grades, I am directed to state as follows:-

(1) In paragraph 2 of your letter dated 18th March 1922, you say that you have as far as possible avoided deviations from the order of seniority in which the subordinates stand in the Gradation List except in two cases. But an examination of the list of promotions accompanying the letter goes to show that, while some of the juniors have been proposed for promotion to Rs.90, some of the seniors are proposed for only Rs.80 and some of the juniors are proposed for promotion from an earlier date than seniors.

Revised proposals may kindly be sent up in the light of the above observations together with papers to justify the overlooking of the claims of Mr. K. V. Narayana Iyengar and Mr. S. V. Subbroya Iyer.

Information may also be kindly furnished as to whether the present proposals cover all the vacancies in the Senior Grades. If not, consolidated proposals may kindly be sent up together with a correct and up to date Gradation List to enable Government to judge of the relative seniority of the officers concerned.

2. As regards the proposal contained in paragraph 3 of your

61746

MYSORE MEDICAL DEPARTMENT.

In reply quote
No. G.C.258-4

Encl. 5

Telegraphic Address
"SENORGEN"

OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SURGEON,
MYSORE GOVERNMENT,
Dated Bangalore, the 11th July *192* 2.

18"

L 357 22

19 JUL 1922

19 JUL 1922
JUDICIAL SECRETARIAT
LOCAL SELF GOVT BRANCH.

FROM

The Senior Surgeon with the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department,
BANGALORE.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.L.7147-Med.36-21-30 dated 31st May 1922, I have the honour to state that in your D.O.letter No.L.5583, an up-to-date gradation list of Sub Assistant Surgeons was called for. Accordingly, a typed list of Sub Assistant Surgeons as they stood on 30th June 1921 (which was in the press) was submitted to your office. This list omits certain names, as the subordinates concerned either died or retired from the service, during the period from 30-6-20 to 30-6-21.

2. As some of the vacancies referred to in the correspondence under reference occurred prior to 30-6-1920, a gradation list corrected up-to- that date would show the correct position of the Sub Assistant Surgeons for purposes of disposal of the vacancies mentioned in the statement appended to my letter No.258 dated 20th March 1922. A spare copy of such a list is herewith submitted, with all subsequent casualities noted in the remarks column. From this, it will be clear that in no case has a Junior been proposed for promotion to the grade on Rs.90/- when his senior is retained on Rs.80/-, nor has there been a deviation from the order of seniority in fixing the date of promotion in any case.

promotion to the Senior Grade, for the above reasons.

5. Coming to the concluding paragraph of your letter pointing out that the Rules do not permit the promotion to the Senior Grade of men in the class on Rs.65/- when there is an intermediate grade on Rs.70/-, I beg to submit as follows:-

The several Government Orders by which the subordinate medical service was constituted or revised are quoted in the preamble to G.O.No.13139-40-Med.250-12-7, dated 27th June 1914. Under Rule 9(b) of the rules appended to the last order, the different classes to which a Sub Assistant Surgeon could obtain promotion are fixed. It will be seen that promotion from the last class up to the 1st class is made to depend (1) on putting in a certain period of approved service in the class below and (2) on passing the promotion examination at each stage. As promotion to the Senior Grades is, ordinarily, earned by continuous service and gradual promotions from class to class, the Senior Grades are mentioned last. But it is no where stated that promotion to the Senior Grade is open only to those who are in the next lower class. Rather than that the Rules do not permit of promotions from class Rs.65/- to class Rs.80/-, it may be said that the rules do not prohibit (and it is as it should be) the grant of such promotions. Of the promotions to the Senior Grade on Rs.80/-, sanctioned in G.O.No.6376-7-Med.36-20-2 dated 18th September 1920, that of Mr. Darashaw Framji was from Rs.65/- to Rs.80/-, on special grounds, viz., his special qualifications as Pathologist in the Mysore Krishnarajendra Hospital, for which, however, he had obtained a training at the cost of Government and he had also received a special allowance of Rs.40. Again, this officer (as also Mr. K.Abdul Khuddus) received promotion from the class of Senior Sub Assistant Surgeon on Rs.80/- to the second Grade of Assistant Surgeons on Rs.120/- in spite of the intermediate Senior Sub Assistant Surgeons' Grade on Rs.90/- which would be contrary to rules according to the view now stated in your letter.(Vide Notification No.2461-C.B.66-20-1

Krishnarajendra Hospital, Mysore, for the past nearly six years and gets an allowance of only Rs.15/- against Rs.40/- sanctioned to Mr. Framji for his special work and Rs.50/- to other officers for X-Ray work. He had been promised the next chance of promotion to the Senior Grade by the late Dr. C.A.Nanjappa, who had direct knowledge of the Sub Assistant Surgeon's work and had appreciated it. I would therefore press his claim for promotion to the Senior Grade in spite of his being comparatively junior in the list of subordinates on Rs.65/- He is the oldest of the three being 49 years of age. Messrs.S.Ramaswami Iyer and T.S.Ambu Pillay are doing very good professional work and have won the appreciation of both the public and the superior officers wherever they have served. Both have shown special aptitude for surgical work and were therefore recommended for special treatment.

6. It may be brought to notice in this connection that out of the 12 cases of fresh promotions to the Senior Grade, nine are from Rs.70/- to Rs.80/- according to seniority and three selections from among the junior men on Rs.65/-, on grounds of special merit, and it is my intention, for the purpose of infusing more interest in professional work, to keep to this proportion, viz., out of every four vacancies, at least one will be filled up by a junior hand on grounds of merit alone, while three will be given to men on grounds of seniority, provided they have had no bad marks. Unless this is done, these appointments to the Senior Grades will be considered as obtainable in the usual course and there will be no inducement for special effort towards professional improvement and merit.

7. As for vacancies that have occurred since March 1922, it was my intention to submit proposals on receipt of orders on the recommendations made in my last letter. There have been six vacancies as noted in the margin and my recommendations for filling them are based on the principles above explained. Mr.A.L. Muthaiah has been badly reported upon by the District Medical Officer, Shimoga and I have submitted the matter to Government in a separate letter

---

1. Death of Mr.T.V.Lakshman Pillay 3-3-22.
2. -do- of Mr.C.Venkatachar. 21-3-22.
3. Grant of Privilege leave preparatory to retirement to Mr.P.S.Ramaswamiengar.
4. Transfer of Mr.K.Krishnaiengar as Head Master, Ayurvedic College.1-6-22.

Statement of promotions in and to the Senior Grades of Sub Assistant Surgeons.

No.	Name	From Pay	To Pay		In what vacancy and from what date.
1.	Mr. K. Krishna Iyengar	80	90		Vice Mr. T.V.Lakshmana Pillay deceased:3rd March 192".
2.	" B. Srikantiah	70	80		Vice No.1.
3.	" Shaik Abdulla	70	80		Vice Mr. Venkatachar deceased: 21st March 1922.
4.	" N. Guru Rao	80	90	Offg.	Vice Mr. P.S.Ramaswami Iyengar, granted Privilege leave: 4th April 1922.
5.	" R. Thirumal Rao	70	80		Vice No. 4.
6.	" M.Srinivasa Rao	80	90		Vice No. 1 transferred to Ayurvedic College:1st June 1922.
7.	" V.Govindarajulu Naidu	70	80		Vice No. 6.
8.	" A.S.Ramalinga Mudaliar	80	90		Vice Mr. S.Krishnaswami Iyer(retired):8th June 1922.
9.	" Mahomed Ali Khaleel	70	80		Vice No. 8.
10	" B. Annappa	80	90		Vice Mr. D.K.Narasinga Rao(retired): 5th July 1922.
11.	" B.A.Rajaratnam	65	80		Vice No. 10.

Senior Surgeon.

Extracts from Reports of Medical Officers under whom Sub Assistant Surgeon Mr. S.V.Subbarayer has served, from the commencement of his service.

Posted to Shimoga District Hospital on joining. 24-6-1891.

Charge and Date of Report.	reporting Name of/Officer.	Abstract.
1 - 1- 1892 District Hospital, Shimoga.	Dr.P.S.Achyuta Rao D.M.O. Shimoga.	Sober and zealous. Not a very strong constitutioned person. Adopts measures for improving his knowledge.
10-6- 1895 Palace Dispensary.	Mr.C.G.Hanuman Singh. Palace Establishment.	Is getting more and more indifferent towards his duties. The working of the dispensary is getting very unsatisfactory. May be transferred elsewhere.
1- 7- 1896 L.F.Dispensary, Holalkere.	Mr.W.Srinivas-Iyengar, D.M.O. Chitaldrug.	Sober and Zealous. Has been trying to improve his knowledge of the professional subjects.
15-10-1899. L.F.Dispensary, Nagar.	Dr.T.V.Arumugum, D.M.O., Shimoga.	Sober and zealous. Health indifferent. Attainments fair. (From 13-5-99 to date of report, period of report.)
20-2-1903. District Hospital, Tumkur	Mr.C.Rajaramrao D.M.O, Tumkur.	Sober but not zealous. Does not seem to remember things on account of illness. Not able to improve, owing to illness. Attainments and progress not satisfactory.
23-2-1907 same as above	Mr.Muthusamydoss D.M.O., Tumkur.	Sober and obedient. Not a bright scholar. (previous year's report by same officer was 'not very bright. He has been given light work in the Hospital.')
8-2-1910 L.F.Dispensary, Kortagere.	Mr.C.Appadorai. D.M.O., Tumkur.	Sober, works with zeal. Attainments moderate. Was asked to study.
9-3-1913 same as above.	Mr.T.S.Nanjappa D.M.O., Tumkur.	Sober and zealous. Attainments and progress good. Well behaved and popular.
10-2-1915. L.F.Dispensary. Yedatoray.	Mr.B. Md.Usmon, D.M.O., Mysore.	General character good. Health not good. Attainments poor.

Has been attached to the Mysore Jail Hospital from 30-1-1918, and the latest ~~xxxxxxx~~ concise report of the Superintendent of the Mysore Jail is enclosed, showing the places where the Sub Assistant Surgeon has worked.

Senior Surgeon.

No d. 2691 / 18th July

To

The Senior Surgeon in Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.G.C.258-4 --- dated the 11th/18th July 1922 submitting proposals for filling up vacancies in the grade of Senior Sub Assistant Surgeons, I am directed to observe as follows:-

2. Paragraph (v) of Government Order No.G.679-80/Med.6916-4 dated the 13th July 1918 sanctioning the re-organisation of the Medical Department clearly lays down that a Sub Assistant Surgeon cannot reach the grade of Rs.70 unless he has put in a service of 20 years. It follows from this that Junior Sub Assistant Surgeons who have not put in a --- service of 20 years cannot aspire to the higher grade of Senior Sub Assistant Surgeons in ordinary course.

3. The cases quoted in your letter referred to above in support of your proposal to select men for the Senior grade from among those who have not yet reached the grade of Rs.70/- are not in --- point.

~~Thus~~ Mr. Framji Darashah was given the Senior grade because his case was overlooked at the time of reorganisation He was a 1st class Sub Assistant Surgeon at the time, appointed as such by reason of the fact that he had passed the 1st L.M.&.S Examination and had completed the course. But he had not put in

claims of Senior men.

5. As regards the men proposed to be superseded, the cases of Messrs. -- Narayana Iyengar and Chinnaiya may, as proposed, be overlooked. But in regard to Mr. Subbaroya Iyer, the confidential reports about him since 1913 have not been referred to by you and it is presumed that they are not bad. In view of the fact that he has completed his service, the question of promoting him to the -- grade of Senior Sub Assistant Surgeons and ordering his retirement next year may receive favourable consideration.

6. Among the men recommended for -- promotion, the relative seniority -- should not be distributed but promotions should be given to the men in the order of their seniority, an earlier vacancy being given to a senior man and a later vacancy to a junior.

7. The existing vacancies in the - Senior Sub Assistant Surgeon's grade may be filled up in the light of the above observations, the selection being made from among Sub Assistant Surgeons on -- Rs.70 according to seniority unless the latter are to be superseded for in-efficiency and a list of the promotions -- ordered may be submitted to Government - for information.

I have etc.,

Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

29/9/11

TMK.

L 2215 20994

2 NOV 1922

LOCAL SELF GOVT'S BRANCH

MYSORE MEDICAL DEPARTMENT.

In reply quote
No. [illegible]

OFFICE OF THE SENIOR SURGEON,
MYSORE GOVERNMENT,
Dated Bangalore, the 30th October *1922*.

Encl. one Statement

Telegraphic Address:
"SENORGEN"

Med 36—21—45

FROM

The Senior Surgeon with the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

L. Cell

31 OCT 1922

To

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to paragraph 7 of your letter No.L.2691-Med.36-21-41, dated 4th October 1922, I have the honor to submit a revised list showing the proposed promotions to the two Senior Grades of Sub Assistant Surgeons to fill up the existir vacancies. Selection has been confined to the class on Rs.70/- and the order of seniority has been strictly adhered to, as desired by Government. The following point is particularly submitted for kind consideration, in this connection.

In my previous letters I had recommended the passing over of three Sub Assistant Surgeons on the ground of their services being not quite up to the mark. In paragraph 5 of your letter my proposals in respect of Messrs.Narayana Iyengar and Chinnaiya have been approved but promotion is required to be given to Mr.Subbarayer on the ground that he has (nearly) completed his service and is due to retire shortly, i.e., at the end of the current official year. These reasons equally apply to the other two, one of whom (Mr.Chinnaiya) will be retired on the 3rd December 1922 and they are in no way less efficient (or rather more inefficient) professionally than Mr. Subbarayer. As regards the travelling allowance affair of Mr. Narayana Iyengar, I beg to submit that he has been

Government now to impose an efficiency bar, the same will have to be authorised by a formal order of Government as (2) an amendment of the existing orders on the point.

Again, the several cases quoted in my letter were merely intended to bring to notice cases where the 'ordinary course' of granting promotions was deviated from, it was not denied, for exceptional reasons. Nor was it stated by me that the sanction accorded in each of those cases was not supported by the Head of the Department for the time being. In each of those cases one intermediate class was passed over and the promotion of each of the subordinates accelerated to that extent. A similar procedure was recommended by me now, the exceptional reason being, however, of a different nature, not "war service" or other circumstances. I therefore once more request a final order whether the class to class order (3) has to be rigidly adhered to in exceptional cases, such as and cannot be deviated from the exhibition of extra-ordinary professional ability and earnestness and zeal. It may be a case of promotion from class of Rs.45 to class of Rs.65 (skipping over Rs.55) or from class Rs.65 to class Rs.80, or from Rs.70 to Rs.90 or from Rs.65 or Rs.70 to Assistant Surgeons as the case may deserve. Unless such exceptions are made permissible there is no chance of infusing enthusiasm for professional work.

I request the favor of definite orders on the above points to guide me in the disposal of future vacancies. It is also a matter for orders whether promotions in and to the (4) grades of Sub Assistaht Surgeons (including the Senior Grades and fresh appointments to those grades) may be made by me as Head of the Department, or the permission given in para 7 of your letter was intended to apply only to the existing vacancies.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Senior Surgeon, M.S.

Statement of promotions in and to the grades of Senior Sub Assi [illegible]

Surgeons.

No.	Name.	From pay	To pay	Date	Remarks
1.	Mr.K.Krishna Iyengar	70	80	15-4-20	Vice Mr.K.Abdul Kudus promoted Asst.Surgeon
2.	" M.C.Venkatachar	70	80	1-7-20	Vice Mr.D.Framji -do-
3.	" M.Lakshman Rao	80	90	20-2-21	Vice Mr.T.Dharmalin[illegible] retired.
4.	" M.Guru Rao	70	80	-do-	Vice No.3
5.	" K.Narayan Iyengar	70	80	16-3-21	Vice Mr.P.Srinivas Rao retired.
6.	" V.Arivanandam	80	90	1-4-21	Vice Mr.G.L.Ramaswami retired.
7.	" S.V.Subbrayer	70	80	-do-	Vice No.6
8	" T.J.Mamandipillay	80	90	15-4-21	Vice Mr.Chanthulathi-pathi Pillay,retd.
9	" M.Srinivas Rao	70	80	-do-	Vice No.8
10	" T.V.Lakshman Pillay	80	90	9-5-21	Vice Mr.M.Lakshman Rao retired.
11.	" A.S.Ramalinga Mdr.	70	80	-do-	Vice No.10
12.	" S.Krishnaswami Iyer	80	90	1-7-21	Vice Mr.A.Rajagopala Pillay, retired.
13.	" N.Ganapathi Iyer	70	80	-do-	Vice No.12
14.	" D.K.Narasinga Rao	80	90	-do-	Vice Mr.Y.Papiah Naidu retired.
15.	" B. Annappa	70	80	-do-	Vice No.14
16.	" G.Audiappa	70	80	16-8-21	Vice No.13. retired
[illegible]	" P.S.Ramaswamiengar	80	90	17-8-21	Vice Mr.P.Papiah Nai[illegible] retired.
19	" C.Ramanujam Pillay	70	80	-do-	Vice No.17
20	" M.Nanjundiah	80	90	12-1-22	Vice No.6 retired.
	" J.Chinnaiya	70	80	-do-	Vice No.19
	M.Muthaih	80	90	3-3-22	Vice No.10 deceased.
	.Srikantaiya	70	80	-do-	Vice No.21
	heik Abdulla	70	80	21-3-22	Vice No.2 deceased.
	.Krishna Iyengar	80	90	3-4-22	Vice No.17 retired with privilege leave.
	R.Thirmal Rao	70	80	-do-	Vice No.24
	M.Guru Rao	80	90	1-6-22	Vice No.24 trensferred to Ayurvedic College
27	V.Govindarajalu Naidu	70	80	-do-	Vice No.26
28	K.Narayan Iyengar	80	90	9-6-22	Vice No.12 retired.
29	Mahomed Ali Khaleel	70	80	-do-	Vice No.28
30	" S. V. Subbrayer	80	90	6-7-22	Vice No.14 retired.
1	" V.Krishna Rao	70	80	-do-	Vice No.30
	" M.Srinivasa Rao	80	90	21-7-22	Vice No.8 retired.
	" Mahomed Hussein	70	80	-do-	Vice No.32
	" A.S.Ramalinga Mdr.	80	90	4-8-22	Vice No.21 retired.
	" A.Singaravelu Mdr.	70	80	-do-	Vice No.34
	" B.Annappa	80	90	1-9-22	Vice No.32 retired.
	" D.Chambers	70	80	-do-	Vice No.36.

Senior Surgeon.

G.R.S. 28.]

Med File No. 36-21

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 2215

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, the 19

Date of issue

Signed by

READ—

Letter no 9-C 258 dated the 18th/20th March 1922 from the Senior Surgeon in Mysore Submitting for sanction a statement of promotions proposed to be

~~ORDER THEREON.—No.~~ given in and to the Senior grades of Sub Asst Surgeons.

(2) Correspondence ending with letter no 9-C 258-69 1921-22 dated the 30th/31st October 1922 from the same Officer Submitting for

over

SUBJECT.

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

should be regd of
adhered to and that no
deviations can be
allowed in the matter.
~~(3) The Senior Surgeon~~
~~will continue to seek~~
the sanction of Govt (Shd. as
heretofore (be sought in respect
of appointments of Sub
Asst Surgeons and of
their promotions to
the Senior Grades
~~(4)~~ Separate orders
will ~~be passed on the~~
length of service, a
Sub Asst Surgeon has to
put in, before he
becomes eligible to
draw the pay of Rs 70/-
~~(5)~~ The Senior Surgeon
is requested to point
out the source from
which the cost involved

issue on the other proposals put forward in your letter No 258-69 dated the 30th/31st Oct 1911

A.

Immediate

Fair draft

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Letter No.C.C.258 dated the 19th/20th March 1922 from the Senior Surgeon In Mysore, submitting for sanction a statement of promotions proposed to be given in and to the Senior Grades of Sub Assistant Surgeons.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No.C.C.258-6 of 1921-22 dated the 30th/31st October 1922 from the same officer submitting for sanction revised proposals in the matter.

Order--No. L3858-59 Med 36-21-46

Dated Bangalore, the 3rd November 1922.

Government are pleased to accord sanction to the revised proposals of the Senior Surgeon to fill up vacancies in the Senior Grades of Sub Assistant Surgeons as detailed in the statement appended with effect from the dates noted against each.

The Senior Surgeon is requested to point out the source from which the cost involved in the promotions sanctioned can be met from the Medical budget of the current year.

Dc Subbarayappa
for Secretary to Government.
Revenue Department.

C.S. Fair draft

To

The Senior Surgeon in Mysore.

" Comptroller, Mysore Government.

P. T. O.,

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

No. L 3860 General and Revenue Secretariat,

Bangalore, 24th November 1922.

Read 36-21-47

From

T. E. Jeyarama Aiyar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

To

H. B. Mylvaganam, Esq., F.R.C.S.,
Senior Surgeon in Mysore.

Sir,

In continuation of Government Order No. L 3853-57 dated 24-11-22 according sanction to certain promotions to the Senior Grades of Sub Assistant Surgeons, I am directed to inform you that the sanction of Government should as heretofore be sought in respect of appointments of Sub Assistant Surgeons and of their promotions to the Senior Grades.

Revised Separate orders will issue on the other ~~proposals put forward~~ questions in your letter No.G.C.258-69 dated the 30th/31st October 1922.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

DCS

for Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

TMK2.

G.R..S VI G 34]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to... 2218

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *192*

Date of issue 21/12/11

Signed by.....

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section Mud

File No. 36-21

Serial No. 48

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read-

Rule 9(c) of the rules issued with Fo no 13139-60/ Mud 250-12-7 of 27.6.1914 directing that promotions of the Assistant Surgeons from one class to the next higher class should depend upon the putting in of 5 years approved service in that class and on having qualified at the examinations prescribed and that promotions to the Senior grade should be awarded only in respect of any specially meritorious service rendered

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

Senior grades, ~~and the posts~~ of Senior in the matter of ~~appointing & promoting Sub-~~ ~~Assistant to Class~~.

Orders vide A & S[illegible]

The cumulative effect of the various orders read above is that a Sub Assistant Surgeon who starts on a pay of Rs 45 is entitled to be promoted ~~to~~

1) to Rs 55 after 5 years' service & passing Part examination;

2) to Rs 65 after 10 years' service & the passing of a second examination and

3) to Rs 70 after a total service of 20 years.

The question of promotion to the Senior grades of Rs 80 & Rs 90 could arise on

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

R e a d:-

Rule 9 (c) of the rules issued with Government Order No. 13139-40/Med.250-12-7 dated 27th June 1914 directing that promotions of Sub Assistant Surgeons from one class to the next higher class should depend upon the putting in of 5 years approved service in that class and the passing of the examinations prescribed and that promotions to the Senior grade should be awarded only in respect of any specially meritorious service rendered by them.

2. Government Order No.G.679-80/Med.69-16-4 dated 13th July 1918 sanctioning the following scale of pay to Sub Assistant Surgeons.

Senior Sub Assistant Surgeons.	6 on Rs.90.
-do- -do-	12 on Rs.80.
Sub Assistant Surgeons.	172 on Rs.35-$\frac{10}{5}$-65.

and after 20 years service Rs.65-$\frac{5}{5}$-70.

3. Government Order No.G.19965-6/Med.114-17-4 dated 7th March 1919 raising the minimum pay of Sub Assistant Surgeons from Rs.35 to Rs.45 per mensem.

4. Government Order No.L.3023-24/Med.46-20-31 dated 16th October 1922 directing that the Sub Assistant Surgeons be given a pay of Rs.70 after 20 years service.

5. Letter No.G.C.258-60/ 21-22 dated 30th/31st October 1922 from the Senior Surgeon in Mysore requesting definite orders regarding the promotions of Sub Assistant Surgeons from class to class and to senior grades.

O r d e r--No. L4524 Med 36-21-48

Dated Bangalore, the 21st December 1922.

The cumulative effect of the various orders read above is that a Sub Assistant Surgeon who starts on a pay of Rs.45 is entitled to be promoted.

(1) to Rs.55 after 5 years' service and passsing of an -- examinations;

(2) to Rs.65 ---

25315 Med 36-21-49. 3099

Office of the Senior Surgeon in Mysore,
Bangalore, dated, 18th December 1922.

No. G.C. 258-10 & 21-22 dated 1945

From
The Senior Surgeon in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To
The Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore.

3 DEC 1922

With reference to Government Order No.L3858-59 Med. 36-21-46 dated 24th November 1922 sanctioning proposals for filling up vacancies in the Senior Grades of Sub Assistant Surgeons, I have the honour to state that the additional cost involved thereon viz., Rs.3701--5-0 as per accompanying statement may be met from the provision of Rs.7560 made under passed Sub Assistant Surgeons, VII District Hospitals in the current year's budget as detailed below.-

I have thehonour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Senior Surgeon.

14	" S.R. Narasinga Row.	80	90	1-7-21	5-7-22	12	4	10	121	5	4	121	5	4	— do —	
15	" B. Annappa	70	80	1-7-21	31-8-22	14	-	10	140	-	-	200	-	-		
"	" — do —	80	90	1-9-22	30-11-22	3	-	20	60	-	-					
16	" Gr. Andiappa	70	80	16-8-21	30-11-22	15	16	10	153	5	4	155	5	4		
17	" P.S. Ramaswamy Iyengar	80	90	17-8-21	2-7-22	10	16	10	105	5	4	105	5	4	Retired	
18	" C. Ramanujam Pillai	70	80	17-8-21	30-11-22	15	15	10	155	-	-	155	-	-		
19	" M. Venugopalaiah	80	90	12-1-22	30-11-22	10	20	10	106	10	8	106	10	8		
20	" J. Chinnaiah	70	80	12-1-22	30-11-22	10	20	10	106	10	8	106	10	8		
21	" M. A.M. Muthaiah	80	90	3-3-22	3-8-22	5	-	10	50	-	-	50	-	-	Retired	
22	" B. Srikantaiah	70	80	— do —	30-11-22	8	29	10	89	10	8	89	10	8		
23	" Sheik Abdulla	70	80	21-3-22	30-11-22	8	11	10	83	10	8	83	10	8		
24	" R. Timmala Row	70	80	3-4-22	30-11-22	7	28	10	79	5	4	79	5	4		
25	" V. Govindarajalu Naidu	70	80	1-6-22	30-11-22	6	-	10	60	-	-	60	-	-		
26	" Mahomed Ali Khalel	70	80	9-6-22	— do —	5	22	10	57	5	4	57	5	4		
27	" V. Krishna Row	70	80	6-7-22	— do —	4	26	10	48	10	4	48	10	4		
28	" Mahomed Hussain	70	80	21-7-22	— do —	4	11	10	43	10	8	43	10	8		
29	" A. Sringaravelu Mudr.	70	80	4-8-22	— do —	3	28	10	39	5	4	39	5	4		
30	" D. Chambers	70	80	1-9-22	— do —	3	-	10	30	-	-	30	-	-		
									Total Rs			3701	5	-		

Bangalore
19-12-22

B.B. Inspector
Senior Surgeon

G.R.S. 28.]

2

Diary No. of letter replied to 3099

File No. 36-21

Serial No. 50

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Leg)

, *the* 19

Date of issue 10/1/23

Signed by Mr CER.

READ—

Lr O. No. L 3858-59/ and 36-21-46 dated the 24th Nov 1922 according sanction to fill up the Vacancies in the Grades of Sub Asst Surgeons ~~ORDER THEREON.—No.~~ requesting the Senior Surgeon to point out the source from which the cost involved could be met from the medical budget of the current year.

2 Letter No G.C 258-

over

SUBJECT.

GPB—10,000—9-12-09

Leg (2) 1871